

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,721	01/08/2004	Eric A. Merz	117415	5536
25944 75	90 10/18/2005	EXAMINER		INER
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			MARTIN, LAURA E	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALLAMIDIGA	, TH 22320		2853	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ā	#
	- ,

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/752,721	MERZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Laura E. Martin	2853					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ja	nuary 2004.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•						
Attachment(s)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/08/04.

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5, 7-11, 15, 16, 18-20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kupcho et al. (US 5670995).

As per claims 1 and 11, Kupcho et al. teaches a drive assembly for a printhead and a printer having an endless loop drive path comprising a drive assembly including: at least two spaced rollers (120 a/b and 122 a/b), at least one of which is a driven roller (120 a/b); an endless drive belt loop (118 a/b) connected between at least two spaced rollers for rotation thereabout to define an endless loop drive path (C6, L51); a drive mechanism (40) that drives the endless drive belt loop in at least a first direction; a printhead assembly (116 a/b) including at least one printhead and a carriage (112/114) operably connected to the endless drive belt loop for movement therewith; a guide assembly (126 a/b) that constrains movement of the printhead assembly, the guide assembly being configured to allow movement of the printhead around both linear and arcuate portions of the endless loop drive path; a controller (Figure 5) that controls the drive assembly to traverse the printhead assembly over at least one-half the length of the endless drive belt loop to advance the printhead across a linear print zone and an

Art Unit: 2853

arcuate non print zone (past rollers) with a predetermined drive profile; and an indexing mechanism for advancing a recording medium past the printhead (C5, L27+).

As per claims 5 and 15, Kupcho et al. teaches the drive assembly and printer wherein the endless loop drive path consists of two linear print zones (Figure 1 - paper) and two arcuate non-print zones defined by one-half the circumference of the spaced rollers (at end of belt), the printhead having a print swath of a width S (Figure 2, 12) measured transverse to the first direction, and the two linear print zones being separated by spacing S_N, where N is an integer multiple of S (Figure 3, distance between 126 a and 126 b).

As per claims 7 and 16, Kupcho et al. teaches the drive assembly and printer (116 a/b) wherein at least one printhead includes at least two printheads diametrically opposed to one another on the endless loop drive path.

As per claims 8 and 19, Kupcho et al. teaches the drive assembly and printer constraining movements of the printhead assembly in at least the linear print zone (126 a/b).

As per claims 9 and 20, Kupcho et al. teaches the drive assembly and printer wherein the controller controls the drive mechanism to also operate in a second direction opposite the first direction (C5, L60-64).

As per claims 10 and 18, Kupcho et al. teaches a printer and drive assembly with an indexing mechanism (16) to advance a recording medium past a printhead, in a path in a direction transverse to the first direction and through a center of the endless loop

Application/Control Number: 10/752,721 Page 4

Art Unit: 2853

drive path and the at least two printheads each face toward the recording medium so as to be capable of simultaneous both side printing (Figure 5).

As per claim 22, Kupcho et al. teaches a drive assembly for a printhead and a printer having an endless loop drive path comprising a drive assembly including: at least two spaced rollers (120 a/b and 122 a/b), at least one of which is a driven roller (120 a/b); an endless drive belt loop (118 a/b) connected between at least two spaced rollers for rotation thereabout to define an endless loop drive path (C6, L51); a drive mechanism (40) that drives the endless drive belt loop in at least a first direction; a printhead assembly (116 a/b) including at least two printheads and carriages (112/114) operably connected to the endless drive belt loop for movement therewith, the at least two printheads being diametrically opposed to one another on the endless loop drive path; a guide assembly (126 a/b) that constrains movement of the printhead assembly, the guide assembly being configured to allow movement of the printhead around both linear and arcuate portions of the endless loop drive path; an indexing mechanism for advancing a recording medium past the printhead (C5, L27+).and a controller (Figure 5) that controls the drive assembly to traverse the printhead assembly over at least onehalf the length of the endless drive belt loop to advance the printhead across a linear print zone and an arcuate non print zone (past rollers) with a predetermined drive profile, wherein the at lest two printheads each face toward the recording medium so as to be capable of simultaneous both side printing (Figure 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2853

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kupcho et al. (US 5670995) in view of Bradford (US 4804285).

Kupcho et al. teaches the drive assembly and printer of claims 1 and 11; however, it does not disclose the controller controlling the drive assembly to rotate the printhead assembly in a unidirectional endless loop mode in which at least one full revolution of the endless loop drive path is traversed by the printhead assembly.

Bradford discloses the controller controlling the drive assembly to rotate the printhead assembly in a unidirectional endless loop mode in which at least one full revolution of the endless loop drive path is traversed by the printhead assembly (C3, L31-35).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the disclosures of Kupcho et al. and Bradford to more easily maneuver the drive assembly and printer.

Claims 3, 4, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kupcho et al. (US 5670995) and Bradford (US 4804285), in further view of Epstein (US 5129746).

Kupcho et al. and Bradford teach the drive assembly and printer of claims 2 and 12; however, neither discloses a controller controlling the drive assembly to advance the

Application/Control Number: 10/752,721

Art Unit: 2853

printhead assembly across the linear print zone and arcuate non-print zone at a substantially constant velocity.

Epstein discloses a controller controlling the drive assembly to advance the printhead assembly across the linear print zone and arcuate non-print zone at a substantially constant velocity (C3, L18-20).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the inventions of Kupcho et al. and Bradford with that of Epstein because running the printhead at a constant velocity will wear less on the machine.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kupcho et al. (US 5670995) in view of Goodwin et al. (US 4980009).

Kupcho et al. teaches the drive assembly of claim 5; however, it does not teach the spaced rollers having a radius R of between 15 and 50 mm to define a turnaround zone of length pi*R.

Goodwin et al. teaches the spaced rollers having a radius R of between 15 and 50 mm to define a turnaround zone of length pi*R (C4, L25+).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the disclosure of Kupcho et al. and Goodwin et al. to create rollers small enough to fit within a printer.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kupcho et al. (US 5670995) in view of Menendez et al. (US 2003/0227511).

printhead assembly across the linear print zone and arcuate non-print zone at a substantially constant velocity.

Epstein discloses a controller controlling the drive assembly to advance the printhead assembly across the linear print zone and arcuate non-print zone at a substantially constant velocity (C3, L18-20).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the inventions of Kupcho et al. and Bradford with that of Epstein because running the printhead at a constant velocity will wear less on the machine.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kupcho et al. (US 5670995) in view of Goodwin et al. (US 4980009).

Kupcho et al. teaches the drive assembly of claim 5; however, it does not teach the spaced rollers having a radius R of between 15 and 50 mm to define a turnaround zone of length pi*R.

Goodwin et al. teaches the spaced rollers having a radius R of between 15 and 50 mm to define a turnaround zone of length pi*R (C4, L25+).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the disclosure of Kupcho et al. and Goodwin et al. to create rollers small enough to fit within a printer.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kupcho et al. (US 5670995) in view of Menendez et al. (US 2003/0227511).

Art Unit: 2853

Kupcho et al. teaches the printer according to claim 11, however, it does not teach the at least two printheads operating simultaneously to provide two offset print swaths separated by a predefined spacing (P11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the disclosures of Kupcho et al. and Menendez et al. to test for misalignments.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kupcho et al. (US 5670995) in view of McCue, Jr. et al. (US 6325503).

Kupcho et al. teaches the printer according to claim 11; however, it does not teach a duplexer that reverses an orientation of the recording medium so that both sides of the recording medium can be printed.

Menendez et al. teaches a duplexer that reverses an orientation of the recording medium so that both sides of the recording medium can be printed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the disclosures of Kupcho et al. and McCue, Jr. et al. to easily print on both sides of paper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura E. Martin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David M. Gray can be reached on (571) 272-2119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/752,721 Page 8

Art Unit: 2853

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Laura E. Martin

DAVID M. GRAY PRIMARY EXAMINER